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#### Abstract

In this note particular cases of the motion of a gyroscope containing an ellipsoidal cavity filled with an ideal, incompressible liquid are considered and necessary and sufficient conditions are established for the stability of such motions.


1. The equations of motion of a symmetrical gyroscope with an ellipsoidal cavity filled with an ideal incompressible liquid, whose vorticity is assumed to be constant for all its points, were obtained in different forms, under different assumptions concerning the acting forces and the cavity location, by Greenhill [1], Hough [2], Poincare [3], Zhukovskii [4] and others.* If no forces are present except gravity, then after the introduction of the Zhukovskii function $\Psi$ the motion of the system can be described by two ordinary vector differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{H}}{d t}=(\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \Psi \cdot(\Omega-\mathbf{H}), \quad \frac{d \mathbf{W}}{d t}=\mathbf{L}, \quad \mathbf{H}(t)=1 / 2 \operatorname{rot} \mathrm{v} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega(t)$ is the instantaneous angular velocity of the gyroscope and $L$ is the angular momentum of the moment of the gravity forces, the system has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=J_{0} \cdot \Omega+J \cdot \mathbf{H}+J_{1} \cdot(\Omega-\mathbf{H})=\left(J_{0}+J_{1}\right) \cdot \Omega+\left(J-J_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{H} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{0}$ is the inertia tensor of the shell, $J$ the inertia tensor of the liquid mass in the cavity and $J_{1}$ the inertia tensor of the "equivalent" rigid body corresponding to the liquid in the cavity [4].

* After submitting this note to the editors, I learned about the article by V.V. Rumiantsev, " 0 n the stability of the rotation of a rigid body containing an ellipsoidal cavity filled with liquid". Trudy of the Mechanics Institute of the Academy of Sciences USSR, No.2. 1956, also devoted to the problem investigated.

Reprint order No. PMM 27.

If we consider the "astatic" (semi-fixed) system of coordinates $0 \xi \eta \zeta$ (Fig.1) of the gyroscope, which does not take part in the rotation of the gyroscope about the common axis of symmetry of the shell and of the cavity $O \zeta$, then the tensors $J_{0}+J_{1}, J-J_{1}$ have only the constant diagonal components $A_{1}, C_{1}$ and $A_{2}, C_{2}$, respectively.

Denoting the projections of H and $\Omega$ along this set of axes by $p_{1}, q_{1}$, $r_{1}, p, q, r$, we obtain the following equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{p}_{1}= & r_{1}\left[\varepsilon q_{1}-(1+\varepsilon) q\right] \\
\dot{q}_{1}= & -r_{1}\left[\varepsilon p_{1}-(1+\varepsilon) p\right] \quad\left(\varepsilon=\frac{a^{2}-c^{2}}{a^{2}+c^{2}}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
\dot{r_{1}}= & (1-\varepsilon)\left(p_{1} q-q_{1} p\right) \\
& A_{1} \dot{p}+A_{2} \dot{p}_{1}+q\left(C_{1} r+C_{2} r_{1}\right)=L_{1} \\
& A_{1} \dot{q}+A_{2} \dot{q}_{1}-p\left(C_{1} r+C_{2} r_{1}\right)=L_{2}  \tag{1.4}\\
& C_{1} \dot{r}+C_{2} \dot{r}_{1}-A_{2}\left(p_{1} q-q_{1} p\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 1.
( $\epsilon$ is the eccentricity of the ellipsoid, $a=b, c$ are the semi-axes of the ellipsoid).

Since we have for the ellipsoid of revolution

$$
A_{2}=(1-\varepsilon) C_{2}=\frac{4 M a^{2} c^{2}}{5\left(a^{2}+c^{2}\right)}
$$

where $M$ is the mass of liquid in the cavity, it follows from the third equation of (1.3) and (1.4)

$$
C_{1} \dot{r}=0, \quad r=\text { const }=r_{0}
$$

Denoting the direction cosines of the vertical (line of action of the weight vector) by $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in the selected coordinate system, the weight of the system by $P$, and the distance from the center of gravity of the system to the fixed point by $h$, we can then write

$$
\mathbf{L}=P h \xi^{\circ} \times g^{\circ} \quad L_{1}=P h \gamma_{2}, \quad L_{2}=-P h \gamma_{1}
$$

The kinematic equations must be added for completeness

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}_{1}=-q \gamma_{3}, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{2}=p \gamma_{3}, \quad \dot{\gamma_{3}}=q \gamma_{1}-p \gamma_{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1.3) to (1.5) define completely the motion of the gyroscope for a given initial position and initial angluar velocities of the system.
2. If the cavity is spherical, then $\epsilon=0, A_{2}=C_{2}=2 / 5 M a^{2}$, therefore after elimination of $p_{1}, q_{1}$, equations (1.4) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} \dot{p}+C_{1} r_{0} q=P h \gamma_{2}, \quad A_{1} \dot{q}-C_{1} r_{0} p=-P h \gamma_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., they become independent of $p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1}$. Equations of motion of the rigid gyroscope, in the selected coordinate system, have then the form
$A \dot{p}+C_{r_{0} q}=P h \gamma_{2}, \quad A \dot{q}-C r_{0} p=-P h \gamma_{1}, \quad A=A_{1}+A_{2}, \quad C=C_{1}+C_{2}$
Equations (1.5) remain the same. As was established by Chetaev [6], a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of motion of a rigid gyroscope rotating about the vertical position of equilibrium is given by the inequality

$$
r_{0}^{2}>\frac{4 A P h}{C^{2}}=r_{01}^{2}
$$

Repeating the above reasoning and calculations in its entirety, we can obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of motion of a symmetrical gyroscope with a spherical cavity filled with an ideal, incompressible liquid, rotating about its vertical position of equilibrium ( $p=q=0, r=r_{0}, \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0, \gamma_{3}=1$ ). The stability condition is expressed by the inequality

$$
r_{0}^{2}>\frac{4 A_{1} P h}{C_{1}^{2}}=r_{02}^{2}
$$

If the moments of inertia of the system are replaced by the moments of inertia of the "transformed rigid body" [4], the system will behave as a rigid gyroscope which does not contain a liquid mass.


Fig. 2.
It is of interest to estimate the influence of the liquid by investigating the ratio of the critical angular velocities

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k, x)=\left(\frac{r_{02}}{r_{01}}\right)^{2}=\frac{A_{1} C^{2}}{A C_{1}^{2}}=\frac{1-k x}{(1-x)^{2}} \quad\left(k=\frac{C}{A}, x=\frac{C_{2}}{C}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Graphs expressing such a relationship are given in Fig. 2. It follows from the diagrams that, for example, in the simplest case in which the density of the shell and of the liquid are the same, the critical angular velocity $r_{02}$ increases with an increase in the cavity size if $C \leqslant A$ ( $k \leqslant 1$ ) (i.e. $A, C$ is constant, therefore $k$ remains constant). If $A<C<2 A(1<k<2)$ with respect to $r_{01}$, the critical angular velocity increases at first and then decreases. The condition $k \geqslant 2$ does not exist because $2 A>C$ always.
3. In the case of a very thin shell, its moment of inertia can be neglected entirely, and if the fixed point is located at the center of the cavity, it is again possible to apply the Chetaev method [5-7]. In this case

$$
A_{1}=\frac{1}{5} M\left(a^{2}+c^{2}\right) \varepsilon^{2}, \quad C_{1}=0, \quad C_{2}=\frac{2}{5} M a^{2}, \quad A_{2}=(1-\varepsilon) C_{2}
$$

and the equations (1.4) reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} r_{1}\left[q_{1}(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon q\right], \quad q=\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} r_{1}\left[p_{1}(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon p\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1.3 do not change and equations (1.5), after determining $p$ and $q$ from (3.1) and (1.3), permit us subsequently to find $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$.

Equations (3.1) and (1.3) give the following first integrals

$$
\begin{gather*}
p^{2}+q^{2}-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} r_{1}^{2}=\text { const } \\
p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} r_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{const}  \tag{3.2}\\
2 p p_{1}+2 q q_{1}+\frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} r_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{const}
\end{gather*}
$$

or by elimination of $r_{1}$, we obtain
$p^{2}+q^{2}+\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)=$ const, $\quad 2 p p_{1}+2 q q_{1}-\frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right) \equiv V_{2}=$ const (3.3)
Taking a linear combination of the above integrals

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=V_{1}+\lambda V_{2}=p^{2}+2 \lambda p p_{1}+p_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}-\lambda \frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)+q^{2}+2 \lambda q q_{1}+ \\
+q_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}-\lambda \frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

we see that such a quadratic form, being constant by virtue of the equations of motion, will be positive-definite if, and only if

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \lambda \\
\lambda & \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \lambda
\end{array}\right|=-\lambda^{2}-\lambda \frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}+\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}>0
$$

In order to select $\lambda$ so that the inequality would be satisfied, the following relation must hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
D=\left(\frac{1+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+4 \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}=\frac{4+5 \varepsilon}{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)^{2}}>0 \\
\text { i. e. either } \varepsilon>0 \quad\left(a^{2}>c^{2}\right), \quad \text { or } \varepsilon<-\frac{4}{5} \quad\left(c^{2}>9 a^{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the light of the results obtained by N.G. Chetaev [5, 6], this appears to be a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability, with respect to the variables $p, q, p_{1}, q_{1}$, of the motion of the gyroscope under consideration about the vertical position of equilibrium. Such conditions were obtained before by Hough [2] as conditions for the stability
of the linearized equations, i.e. the equations of the first approximation.
4. If the gyroscope oscillates about the vertical position of equilibrium, then the linearization of equations (1.3) and (1.4) leads to the following. Considering $p_{1}, q_{1}, p, q$ to be small and neglecting their products we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}_{1}=0, \quad \text { or } \quad r_{1}=\mathrm{const}=r_{0} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, denoting the position of the gyroscope axes by the angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$ between the vertical and the planes $\xi O \zeta$ and $\eta O \zeta$, respectively, we can also disregard their squares and products because the system $0 \xi \eta \zeta$ is astatic and does not participate in the gyroscope rotation about its own axis. By assumption, they remain small during the entire duration of the motion. As a result, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\dot{3}, \quad q=-\dot{\alpha}, \quad \gamma_{1} \approx \alpha, \quad \gamma_{2} \approx \beta, \quad \gamma_{3} \approx 1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us substitute these values into (1.3) and (1.4)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{p}_{1}=r_{0}\left[\varepsilon q_{1}+(1+\varepsilon) \dot{\alpha}\right], & \ddot{\beta}+\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) k_{2} r_{0} q_{1}-r_{0}\left(k_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} k_{2}\right) \dot{\alpha}=k_{3} \beta \\
\dot{q}_{1}=-r_{0}\left[\varepsilon p_{1}-(1+\varepsilon) \beta\right], & \ddot{\alpha}+\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) k_{2} r_{0} p_{1}+r_{0}\left(k_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} k_{2}\right) \dot{\beta}=k_{8} \alpha \tag{4.3}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
k_{1}=\frac{C_{1}}{A_{1}}, \quad k_{2}=\frac{C_{2}}{A_{1}}, \quad k_{3}=\frac{P h}{A_{1}}
$$

We now introduce the complex variable $Z=a \pm i \beta^{\cdot}=N e^{+\lambda t}$. Eliminating $p_{1}, q_{1}$, we now obtain the following characteristic equation for (4.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}+r_{0}\left(\varepsilon-k_{1}-\varepsilon^{2} k_{2}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left[k_{3}-r_{0}{ }^{2} \varepsilon\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right] \lambda+\varepsilon r_{0} k_{3}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since an unstable first approximation corresponds to complex roots of (4.4) and a periodically stable first approximation to real roots, then on the basis of the well-known Liapunov theorem [8], the presence of complex roots in (4.4) is a sufficient condition for instability of gyroscope motion as a whole. In the presence of real roots, we are dealing with a critical case - three pairs of purely imaginary roots. The sufficient condition for stability, therefore, well be expressed by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mu)=\mu^{3}+a_{1} \mu^{2}+a_{2} \mu+a_{3}>0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents the transformed condition of the occurrence of complex roots in equation (4.4). Here the notation was introduced

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mu=\frac{k_{3}}{r_{0}^{2}}, \quad \pi_{1}=k_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} k_{2}-\varepsilon, & \pi_{2}=k_{1}+k_{2} \\
a_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left[27 \varepsilon^{2}+6 \varepsilon\left(3 \pi_{1}-2 \pi_{2}\right)-\pi_{1}^{2}\right], & a_{3}=-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} \pi_{2}^{2}}{4}\left(\pi_{1}^{2}+4 \varepsilon \pi_{2}\right) \\
a_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left[3 \varepsilon \pi_{2}\left(2 \pi_{2}-3 \pi_{1}\right)+\pi_{1}^{2}\left(\pi_{2}-2 \pi_{1}\right)\right], &
\end{array}
$$

It is of interest to point out the fact that the characteristic equation is of the third, and not of the second degree, so that the stable motion of the gyroscope axis, besides precession and nutation, will also perform a "nutation of the second order". This means that the axis rotates with constant angular velocity $\lambda_{3}$ around the center $O_{3}$, which in turn rotates with angular velocity $\lambda_{2}$ around the center $O_{2}$ and the latter rotates with angular velocity $\lambda_{1}$ around the projection of the gyroscope axis in the position $O_{1}$ of the so-called "sleeping top". [ $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ are roots of (4.4).]

In the particular case of the spherical cavity $\epsilon=0, a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, $a_{1}=-1 / 4 k_{1}{ }^{2}$, equation (4.5) can be written in the form

$$
f(\mu)=\mu^{2}\left(\mu-\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{4}\right)>0
$$

i.e. the motion is unstable when

$$
\mu>\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{4}, \quad-\text { or } \quad r_{0}^{2}<\frac{4 k_{3}}{k_{1}^{2}}=\frac{4 P h A_{1}}{c_{1}^{2}}=r_{02}^{2}
$$

As was shown before, this sufficient condition for instability is also a necessary one.

In the case of the "inertialess" shell $\left(k_{1}=0, k_{2}=(1+\epsilon) / \epsilon^{2}, k_{3}=0\right)$, equation (4.4) changes into the new equation

$$
\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda r_{0}-r_{0}^{2} \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)=0, \quad \lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=\frac{r_{0}}{2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{4+5 \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}\right)
$$

Thus we obtain again the same instability condition as in Section 3:

$$
-\frac{4}{5}<\varepsilon<0 \quad(a<c<3 a)
$$

5. Let us look now into the problem of the nearly spherical cavity. To be definite, we take a sphere equal in volume to the investigated ellipsoid. Considering the coefficient $\epsilon$ to be small, we expand the coefficients of the inequality (4.5) in a power series in $\epsilon$ and then seek its roots also as a power series in $\epsilon$; we finally obtain the following

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\mu)=\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{3}\right)>0  \tag{5.1}\\
\mu_{1}=\left(k_{20}-k_{10}-2 i \sqrt{k_{10} k_{20}}\right) \varepsilon+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \mu_{8}=\frac{1}{4}\left[k_{10}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{2} k_{10}\left(\frac{d k_{1}}{d \varepsilon}\right)_{0}\right] \varepsilon+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)( \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The subscript zero indicates that the corresponding value was calculated at $\epsilon=0$, i.e. for the original sphere. The quantity $\left(d k_{1} / d \epsilon\right)_{0}$ can be easily calculated

$$
\frac{d k_{1}}{d \varepsilon}=\frac{1}{A_{1}^{2}}\left(A_{1} \frac{d C_{1}}{d \varepsilon}-C_{1} \frac{d A_{1}}{d \varepsilon}\right)
$$

To evaluate $d A_{1} / d \epsilon$ and $d C_{1} / d \epsilon$ at $\epsilon=0$, one can determine the changes of these moments relative to changes of the cavity shape, from spherical into ellipsoidal, and then evaluate the limits

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta A_{1}}{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d \varepsilon}\right)_{0^{\prime}} \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta C_{1}}{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{d C_{1}}{d \varepsilon}\right)_{0}
$$

The computations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d k_{1}}{d \varepsilon}=-\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma} k_{20}\left(2+k_{10}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $y$ is the density of the liquid, $\gamma_{1}$ is the density of the shell).
It follows from the obtained expressions (5.2) that two of the roots of inequality (4.5) are complex for small values of $\epsilon$. The inequality evidently is fulfilled when $\mu>\mu_{3}$, i.e. the motion is unstable when

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}^{2}<\frac{k_{3}}{\mu_{3}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This indicates that in such a case the qualitative picture remains the same as for the rigid body. It possesses only one critical angular velocity. Nevertheless, it was not proved that the motion will be stable at $r_{0}{ }^{2}>k_{3} / \mu_{3}$, because we have only investigated the linearized equations here.
6. Although the qualitative picture appeared to be the same as for the rigid body in all the cases considered above, still, since the instability condition (4.5) is expressed mathematically as a cubic inequality (the corresponding inequality for a rigid body is linear), one can expect new cases: absolute instability (if inequality (4.5) does not have positive real roots) or the appearance of a second region of instability at the larger angular velocities (when two or three positive roots of inequality (4.5) are present.

For example, at $\epsilon=-0.5, k_{1}=0.5$, the inequality (4.5) becomes

$$
\mu^{3}-0.0850 \mu^{2}-0.0350 \mu+0.061>0
$$

and it is satisfied everywhere (one negative root and two complex). The motion of such a system is always unstable. Nevertheless, the problem concerning the construction of a real gyroscope containing the cavity with $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $\epsilon$ prescribed, although being theoretically fully realizable (there are only three equations to deal with for 6 to 7 selected quantities: three parameters characterizing the cavity, density of the shell and the fluid and parameters defining the external contour of the body), is extremely difficult to cope with in practice, and therefore no examples of such "anomalous" gyroscopes are presented in this paper.
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